Topological characterization and stability of Floquet Majorana modes in Rashba nanowires

Debashish Mondal^(D),^{1,2,*} Arnob Kumar Ghosh^(D),^{1,2,†} Tanay Nag^(D),^{3,‡} and Arijit Saha^(D),^{2,§}

¹Institute of Physics, Sachivalaya Marg, Bhubaneswar 751005, India

²Homi Bhabha National Institute, Training School Complex, Anushakti Nagar, Mumbai 400094, India ³Department of Physics and Astronomy, Uppsala University, Box 516, 75120 Uppsala, Sweden

(Received 28 July 2022; revised 6 January 2023; accepted 10 January 2023; published 24 January 2023)

We theoretically investigate a practically realizable Floquet topological superconductor model based on a one-dimensional Rashba nanowire and proximity-induced *s*-wave superconductivity in the presence of a Zeeman field. The driven system hosts regular 0-Majorana end modes and anomalous π -Majorana end modes (MEMs). By tuning the chemical potential and the frequency of the drive, we illustrate the generation of multiple MEMs in our theoretical setup. We utilize the chiral symmetry operator to topologically characterize these MEMs via a dynamical winding number constructed out of the periodized evolution operator. Interestingly, the robustness of the 0- and π -MEMs is established in the presence of on-site time-independent random disorder potential. We employ the twisted boundary condition to define the dynamical topological invariant for this translational-symmetry broken system. The interplay between the Floquet driving and the weak disorder can stabilize the MEMs, giving rise to a quantized value of the dynamical winding number for a finite range of drive parameters. This observation might be experimentally helpful in scrutinizing the topological nature of the Floquet MEMs. We showcase another driving protocol, namely, a periodic kick in the chemical potential, to study the generation of Floquet MEMs in our setup. Our work paves a realistic way to engineer multiple MEMs in a driven system.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.107.035427

I. INTRODUCTION

Topological superconductors (TSCs) hosting Majorana zero modes (MZMs) have been at the heart of modern condensed matter physics for the last two decades [1-6]. Majorana fermions are charge-neutral particles satisfying the Dirac equation, but unlike the Dirac fermions, they are selfantiparticles. However, in a condensed matter system, they do not constitute elementary particles, rather they appear as emergent quasiparticles [3-6]. Majorana fermions obey non-Abelian statistics and are proposed to be the building blocks of fault-tolerant quantum computations [7–11]. The first theoretical proposal for MZMs in a one-dimensional (1D) topological system is put forward by Kitaev [1], based on a spinless p-wave superconducting chain. However, p-wave superconductors are not found naturally, and this restricts the experimental realization of the Kitaev's model. In this direction, Fu and Kane put forward the first experimentally feasible theoretical model proposal [12] based on topological insulators. In their proposal, an s-wave superconductor is placed in close proximity to the surface states of a three-dimensional strong topological insulator and a magnetic insulator. An effective spiness $p_x + ip_y$ pairing is generated in the system due to the interplay between the s-wave superconductivity, the spin-orbit coupling (SOC), and the exchange field. This system supports Majorana bound states at the vortex core. The generation of this spinless $p_x + ip_y$ superconductivity has also been described in other models [13,14].

However, an alternative elegant proposal for realizing the MZMs is proposed in systems consisting of 1D semiconducting nanowirewire (NW) (e.g., InAs, InSb, etc.) with strong SOC and proximity-induced *s*-wave superconductivity (e.g., Nb) in it [3,4,6,15–23]. Such proposals, based on semiconductor-superconductor heterostructure, have attracted few recent experiments. The $2e^2/h$ quantized zero-bias peak, obtained via the tunneling spectroscopy measurements, has been reported as an indirect signature of the MZMs [24–34]. However, such quantization of the zero-bias peak may also arise due to the Andreev bound states induced by the quantum dots formed at the junction interface or the Kondo effect due to the magnetic impurities present in the system [35–41]. Thus, the compelling and distinctive signature of the MZMs is yet to be found.

On the other hand, the Floquet generation of topological systems has been capturing much attention due to its ability to engineer topological phenomena out of a nontopological system [42–65]. The nontrivial winding of time-dependent wave function allows one to generate the anomalous topological boundary modes at finite energy, namely, π modes, which do not have any static counterpart. The Floquet generation of TSCs hosting Majorna end modes (MEMs), relying upon the spinless *p*-wave Kitaev chain, has been investigated [53–55] along with their transport signature [66]. To add more, the 1D cold-atomic NW–*s*-wave superconductor heterostructure

^{*}debashish.m@iopb.res.in

[†]arnob@iopb.res.in

[‡]tanay.nag@physics.uu.se

[§]arijit@iopb.res.in



FIG. 1. (a) Schematic representation of our setup is shown here. One-dimensional nanowire (NW) (blue) with strong spin-orbit coupling is placed on top of an *s*-wave superconducting slab (green). A magnetic field B_x is applied along the *x* direction and a gate voltage V_g is applied across the cross section of the NW to control the chemical potential. The Majorana end modes (MEMs) [red] appear at the two ends of the NW. (b) Schematic demonstration of our periodic three-step drive protocol [see Eq. (5)] is presented.

using the step drive protocol [56], the time-dependent ac electric field [59], and the periodically driven chemical potential [58,60,61] are found to be instrumental in the context of Floquet TSCs (FTSCs). The braiding of these Floquet modes further enriches the field of quantum computations [67–70]. Importantly, following the realistic Rashba NW model, the generation of multiple MEMs similar to Ref. [53] has not been explored so far to the best of our knowledge. Also, these FTSCs hosting 0- and π -MEMs have not been characterized using a proper dynamical topological invariant. To this end, we ask the following questions: (a) Is it possible to generate the FTSC with multiple 0- and π -MEMs stating from a static realistic model based on 1D Rashba NW? (b) How does one characterize these dynamical modes using a proper dynamical invariant? (c) Are these Floquet MEMs stable against static random disorder? The interplay between Floquet physics and disorder might be useful in the context of topological characterization of the anomalous MEMs. We additionally note that the above questions are practically pertinent given the experimental developments of Floquet systems based on solid-state materials [71,72], acoustic setup [73,74], photonic platforms [75,76], etc.

In this article, we first revisit the static model based on 1D Rashba NW in the presence of a magnetic field and proximity-induced *s*-wave superconductivity (see Fig. 1) [3,4,15–19,22,23] and study its topological phase boundaries (see Fig. 2). We exploit the chiral symmetry to define the topological invariant for this system. Afterward, we tend towards the Floquet generation of TSCs using a three-step drive protocol (see Fig. 3). We employ the periodized evolution operator to topologically characterize the dynamical modes (see Fig. 4). The robustness of the dynamical modes is also investigated against on-site random disorder (see Fig. 5). In the presence of disorder, the translation symmetry no longer holds; hence, we define a real-space topological invariant to characterize these dynamical modes (both 0- and π -MEMs). We extend our analysis for the mass kick drive protocol to verify our proposals on Floquet Majorana modes (see Figs. 6 and 7).

The remainder of the article is organized as follows. We introduce the static Hamiltonian and its topological characterization in Sec. II. In Sec. III, we discuss the generation of Floquet MEMs and their topological characterization. In the presence of static random disorder, the stability of the dynamical MEMs via the appropriate topological invariant is studied in Sec. IV. We also introduce the periodic kick protocol to generate the MEMs in Sec. V. We discuss the possible experimental connection and feasibility of our theoretical proposal in Sec. VI. We finally summarize and conclude our findings in Sec. VII.

II. MODEL

A. Model Hamiltonian

We consider the Rashba NW model, placed on the top of an *s*-wave superconductor [see Fig. 1(a)], while a magnetic field is applied along the *x* directon [17]. The superconducting gap is induced in the NW via the proximity effect. We consider the following Bogoliubov-de Gennes (BdG) basis: $\Psi_k =$ $\{\psi_{k\uparrow}, \psi_{k\downarrow}, \psi^{\dagger}_{-k\downarrow}, -\psi^{\dagger}_{-k\uparrow}\}^t$; here, $\psi_{k\uparrow}(\psi^{\dagger}_{k\uparrow})$ and $\psi_{k\downarrow}(\psi^{\dagger}_{k\downarrow})$ represent the electron annihilation (creation) operators for the spin-up sector and the spin-down sector, respectively, and **t** stands for the transpose operation. The BdG Hamiltonian for the NW is given as [3,4,16,17,19,22]

$$H_0(k) = [(2t - c_0) - 2t \cos k]\Gamma_1 + 2u \sin k\Gamma_2 + B_x \Gamma_3 + \Delta \Gamma_4,$$
(1)

where the 4×4 Γ matrices are given as $\Gamma_1 = \tau_z \sigma_0$, $\Gamma_2 = \tau_z \sigma_z$, $\Gamma_3 = \tau_0 \sigma_x$, and $\Gamma_4 = \tau_x \sigma_0$, while the Pauli matrices τ and σ act on particle-hole and spin (\uparrow, \downarrow) subspace, respectively. Here, c_0 , t, u, B_x , and Δ represent the chemical potential, the nearest-neighbor hopping amplitude, the Rashba SOC strength, the strength of the magnetic field along the *x* direction, and the induced *s*-wave superconducting gap, respectively. The Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] respects the chiral symmetry $S = \tau_y \sigma_z$: $S^{-1}H_0(k)S = -H_0(k)$, and it respects the particle-hole symmetry $C = \tau_y \sigma_y \mathcal{K}$: $C^{-1}H_0(k)C = -H_0(-k)$. However, it breaks the time-reversal symmetry $\mathcal{T} = i\tau_0\sigma_y\mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} represents the complex-conjugation operator: $\mathcal{T}^{-1}H_0(k)\mathcal{T} \neq H_0(-k)$.

At k = 0, the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] undergoes a gapclosing transition, when $B_x = |B_x^1| = \sqrt{c_0^2 + \Delta^2}$, while another gap-closing transition occurs at $k = \pi$, when $B_x =$ $|B_x^2| = \sqrt{(4t - c_0)^2 + \Delta^2}$. These bulk gap-closing conditions can identify the topological phase boundaries. Having emphasized the problem analytically, we tie up with numerical results to corroborate our findings. We employ the open boundary condition (OBC) and depict the eigenvalue spectra of the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] in Fig. 2(a) as a function of the magnetic field B_x . From Fig. 2(a), one can identify that the system is trivially gapped when $B_x < |B_x^1|$ and $B_x > |B_x^2|$ with no MZMs present. However, a pair of MZMs appear at the two ends of the NW (one MZM per end), when $|B_x^1| \leq B_x \leq |B_x^2|$, identifying the TSC phase in the static model.



FIG. 2. (a) Energy eigenvalue spectra for the static Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)], employing the open boundary condition, are shown as a function of the magnetic field B_x . The corresponding winding number W is depicted as a function of B_x in panel (b) for a fixed value of $c_0 = 1.0$. (c) We demonstrate W in the $c_0 - B_x$ plane to illustrate the topological phase diagram. Other model parameters are chosen as $(t, u, \Delta) = (1.0, 0.5, 1.0)$. MZMs are obtained for $|B_x^1| \leq B_x \leq |B_x^2|$ (see text for details).

B. Topological characterization

The 1D system under consideration preserves the chiral symmetry *S*. Thus, it can be topologically characterized by a \mathbb{Z} winding number, classifying the Hamiltonian's first homotopy class within the first homotopy group $\Pi_1[U(N)]$ and providing us with the number of zero-energy modes [77,78]. In the canonical basis representation (chiral basis), where *S* is diagonal, the Hamiltonian [Eq. (1)] takes an antidiagonal form. This reads as

$$\tilde{H}_0(k) = U_S^{\dagger} H_0(k) U_S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H_0^+(k) \\ H_0^-(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (2)$$

where the unitary matrix U_S is constructed using the chiral basis, given as

$$U_{S} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(3)

and $H_0^{\pm}(k)$ are 2×2 square matrices, defined on the \pm chiral block, respectively. Using $\mathcal{H}_0^{\pm}(k)$, we can define the winding number $W \ (\in \mathbb{Z})$ as [77,78]

$$W = \left| \pm \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \operatorname{Tr} \left[\left\{ H_0^{\pm}(k) \right\}^{-1} \partial_k H_0^{\pm}(k) \right] \right|.$$
(4)

We illustrate *W* as a function of B_x for a fixed value of c_0 in Fig. 2(b). The winding number *W* correctly identifies the phase boundary between the trivial W = 0 and the topological W = 1 phases. In order to obtain the topological phase diagram, we further depict the winding number in the $c_0 - B_x$ plane in Fig. 2(c). The system exhibits the TSC phase with W = 1 for $B_x > |B_x^1|$. Our findings are consistent with the previously reported results [3,4,17] and constitute the background for the investigation of the driven system as discussed in the next section.

III. FLOQUET MAJORANA MODES AND THEIR TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

A. Driving protocol and emergence of Floquet Majorana modes

We choose a nontopological parameter space for the Hamiltonian $H_0(k)$ [Eq. (1)] to start with and consider a threestep periodic driving scheme to generate the FTSC phase as

$$H_{\text{step}}(k, t) = H_1 t \in [0, T/4),$$

= $H_0(k) t \in [T/4, 3T/4),$
= $H_1 t \in [3T/4, T],$ (5)

where $H_1 = -c_1\Gamma_1$ represents an on-site term modulating the chemical potential. Here, $T(\Omega)$ stands for the time period (frequency) of the drive. We depict the driving protocol schematically in Fig. 1(b). The Floquet operator can be constructed as follows:

$$U_{\text{step}}(k, T) = \text{TO} \exp\left[-i \int_{0}^{T} dt \ H(k, t)\right]$$
$$= e^{-iH_{1}T/4} e^{-iH_{0}(k)T/2} e^{-iH_{1}T/4} .$$
(6)

We can diagonalize the Floquet operator $U_{\text{step}}(k, T)$ to obtain the quasienergy spectrum as $U_{\text{step}}(k, T) |\Psi_n(k)\rangle = e^{-iE'_n(k)T} |\Psi_n(k)\rangle$. Here, *n* represents the band index in the quasienergy spectrum. We define $E_n(k) = E'_n(k)T$ such that the quasienergy always lies within the range, i.e., $E_n(k) \in [-\pi, \pi]$.

We depict the bulk quasienergy bands E(k) as a function of momenta k for $\Omega = 1.5$ and 2.5 in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The bulk quasienergy bands do not exhibit any direct signature of the end modes due to the periodic boundary condition. However, by analyzing the bulk bands, one can obtain a qualitative idea about the number of end modes present within a certain quasienergy gap. From the insets (I1 and I2) of Fig. 3(a), we can observe two openings of the bulk gap corresponding to two MEMs at these gaps. In these insets, 0 and π lines are indicated by the red and green dotted lines, respectively. Similarly, from the inset of Fig. 3(b), one can identify four gap openings near $E(k) = \pi$ (denoted by the green dotted line), corresponding to four end modes at that gap. Nonetheless, we also verify our claims from the finite geometry calculations employing the OBC.

Having studied the bulk quasienergy bands, we impose the OBC and diagonalize the Floquet operator [Eq. (6)]. We demonstrate the quasienergy eigenvalue spectra for $\Omega = 1.5$ and $\Omega = 2.5$ in Figs. 3(c) and 3(d), respectively. We can identify two 0-MEMs (one 0-Majorana mode per end) and two π -MEMs (one π -Majorana per end) from the insets I1 and I2 of Fig. 3(c), respectively. From Fig. 3(d), however, we can observe only four π -MEMs (two π -Majoranas per end), while 0-MEMs are absent in this case. This is also emphasized in the inset of Fig. 3(d). Thus, the finite geometry calculations verify the findings as anticipated from the bulk quasienergy bands. The information about the nature of the bulk gap, whether it is topological or trivial, is acquired by investigating the existence of MEMs within the bulk gap under the OBC. The winding number captures the topological property of the bulk gap that we demonstrate below.

To study the localization properties of the in-gap states, we illustrate the normalized site-resolved probability $(|\Psi|^2)$ in Figs. 3(e) and 3(f) corresponding to the 0- and π -MEMs



FIG. 3. Bulk quasienergy spectra for the three-step drive protocol [Eq. (5)] are shown for $\Omega = 1.5$ and $\Omega = 2.5$ in panels (a) and (b), respectively. In the insets I1 and I2 of panel (a) [inset of panel (b)], we depict the bulk gap around quasienergy $E(k) = \pi$ and E(k) = 0, respectively [quasienergy $E(k) = \pi$]. In panels (c) and (d), we illustrate the quasienergy spectra E_m as a function of the state index *m* for our system obeying OBC, corresponding to panels (a) and (b), respectively, while in the insets, we portray the zoomed-in quasienergies for better clarity. The appearance of 0- and π -Majorana end modes (MEMs) are evident from these figures. We show the normalized site-resolved probability $(|\Psi|^2)$ corresponding to the 0- and π -MEMs in panels (e) and (f), respectively. Here, we consider N = 4000 lattice sites to obtain sharp Majorana localization at individual ends of the NW. All the other model parameters are chosen as $(c_0, c_1, \Delta, B_x, t, u) = (1.0, 0.2, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.5)$.

as shown in Fig. 3(c), respectively. One can observe that both the 0- and π -MEMs are sharply localized near the two ends of the NW. To corroborate that the end modes represent a Majorana, we consider the wave function of the 0 and π modes, corresponding to Figs. 3(e) and 3(f), respectively. For the *i*th lattice site, the wave function takes the form $\Psi_i =$ $\{\psi_{i\uparrow}, \psi_{i\downarrow}, \psi_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}, -\psi_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger}\}^t$. We further verify that $|\psi_{i\uparrow}| = |\psi_{i\uparrow}^{\dagger}|$ and $|\psi_{i\downarrow}| = |\psi_{i\downarrow}^{\dagger}|$ for both the 0 and π modes. Hence, both the 0 and π modes represent Majorana modes being their own antiparticle. Note that the amplitudes of the electron and hole parts are found to be identical for a given species of spin. This refers to the emergent spinless *p*-wave nature of the supercoducting gap within which MEMs exist.

B. Topological characterization

We exploit the chiral symmetry to characterize the topological properties of dynamical MEMs. The 0- and π -MEMs cannot be characterized distinctly using the Floquet operator only. To resolve this, we introduce the notion of gap ϵ via the periodized evolution operator as [45,79]

$$U_{\epsilon}(k,t) = U_{\text{step}}(k,t)[U_{\text{step}}(k,T)]_{\epsilon}^{-t/T},$$
(7)

where $U_{\text{step}}(k, t)$ represents the time-evolution operator, and the (-t/T)th power of the Floquet operator for the ϵ gap $[U_{\text{step}}(k, T)]_{\epsilon}^{-t/T}$ is given as

$$\begin{aligned} \left[U_{\text{step}}(k,T)\right]_{\epsilon}^{-t/T} &= \sum_{n=1}^{N/2} e^{-i(2\epsilon - |E_n(k)|)t/T} \left|\psi_n(k)\right\rangle \left\langle\psi_n(k)\right| \\ &+ \sum_{n=N/2+1}^N e^{-i|E_n(k)|t/T} \left|\psi_n(k)\right\rangle \left\langle\psi_n(k)\right|, \end{aligned}$$
(8)

where $n = 1 \cdots N/2$ $(N/2 + 1 \cdots N)$ represents valence (conduction) bands. Note that $U_{\epsilon}(k, 0) = U_{\epsilon}(k, T) = \mathbb{I}$ and $U_{\epsilon}(k, t)$ is periodic in time, i.e., $U_{\epsilon}(k, t) = U_{\epsilon}(k, t + T)$. Since $H_{\text{step}}(k, t)$ respects chiral symmetry, one can show that the chiral symmetry *S* imposes the following constraints on the periodized evolution operator [80] as

$$SU_{\epsilon}(k,t)S^{-1} = U_{-\epsilon}(k,-t)e^{2\pi i t/T}.$$
 (9)

Using the periodicity condition of $U_{\epsilon}(k, t)$, we obtain the following at the half period: $SU_{\epsilon}(k, \frac{T}{2})S^{-1} = -U_{-\epsilon}(k, \frac{T}{2})$. At the half period $t = \frac{T}{2}$, we obtain the constraints on the $U_{\epsilon}(k, \frac{T}{2})$ for the 0 and π gaps as

$$SU_0\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right)S^{-1} = -U_0\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right),$$

$$SU_\pi\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right)S^{-1} = U_\pi\left(k, \frac{T}{2}\right),$$
(10)

where we have used the relation $U_{-\pi}(k, \frac{T}{2}) = -U_{\pi}(k, \frac{T}{2})$. Thus, in the chiral basis, $U_0(k, \frac{T}{2}) [U_{\pi}(k, \frac{T}{2})]$ takes the block antidiagonal [diagonal] form. This reads as

$$\tilde{U}_0\left(k,\frac{T}{2}\right) = U_S^{\dagger} U_0\left(k,\frac{T}{2}\right) U_S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & U_0^+(k) \\ U_0^-(k) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11)$$

$$\tilde{U}_{\pi}\left(k,\frac{T}{2}\right) = U_{S}^{\dagger}U_{\pi}\left(k,\frac{T}{2}\right)U_{S} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{\pi}^{+}(k) & 0\\ 0 & U_{\pi}^{-}(k) \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

Using $U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(k)$, the dynamical winding number W_{ϵ} for the $\epsilon(=0, \pi)$ gap can be defined as [80]

$$W_{\epsilon} = \left| \pm \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} dk \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left\{ U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(k) \right\}^{-1} \partial_{k} U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(k) \right] \right|.$$
(13)

Here, W_{ϵ} counts the number of modes present per end of the NW at the ϵ gap. Thus, W_{ϵ} serves as the dynamical analog of Eq. (4). We demonstrate the topological phase diagram in terms of W_0 and W_{π} in the $c_0 - \Omega$ plane in Figs. 4(a) and 4(b), respectively. The color bar represents the number of MEMs present in the system. One can observe that the



FIG. 4. We demonstrate the dynamical winding numbers W_0 and W_{π} (quasienergy gap corresponding to 0-energy, G_0 and π -energy, G_{π}) in the $c_0 - \Omega$ plane in panels (a) and (b) [panels (c) and (d)], respectively. Here the color bar represents the winding number (energy gap) for panels (a) and (b) [panels (c) and (d)]. All the other parameters are chosen to be the same as those mentioned in Fig. 3.

numbers of both 0- and π -MEMs are found to be more than 1 in a certain parameter regime at low frequency. We find that, in the intermediate range of frequency $\Omega \in [0.35, 1.0]$ (while the hopping parameter is set to unity), one can observe various topological phases with multiple Majorana 0 and π modes. Therefore, from the experimental point of view such a low to intermediate range of frequency might be useful for obtaining multiple 0- and π -MEMs. In the case of lower frequency $\Omega < 0.35$, the topological phases become short lived in the parameter space resulting in a problem for choosing a suitable experimentally feasible parameter range. On the other hand, an intermediate to moderately high frequency range ($\Omega \sim 1.0 - 2.0$) can also be suitable in terms of engineering single Majorana 0 and π modes.

In Figs. 4(c) and 4(d), we show the quasienergy gap around the quasienergies 0 and π , namely, G_0 and G_{π} , respectively. The different phases, characterized by a well-defined dynamical winding number, are gapped out, while on the phase boundaries, associated with vanishing gaps $G_{0,\pi} = 0$, dynamical winding numbers are ill-defined. Therefore, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the gap and the invariant. Note that the system is in the trivial phase when the winding number becomes 0. One can analytically understand the 0-energy gap in the high-frequency $T \rightarrow 0$ limit from an effective Hamiltonian $H_{\text{eff}} = H_0/2 + H_1/2$ that can be obtained from the Brillouin-Wigner approximation [81]. As a result, the gap is modified by a parameter c_1 in addition to the parameters present in H_0 .

The modulation in the MEM number is possible only in a driven system via the procreation of longer-range hoppings that is more probable at low-frequency regimes. By contrast, a high-frequency drive is not able to generate higher-order hoppings resulting in one MEM similar to the static Hamiltonian. In a driven system, one can engineer more gap-closing transitions at quasienergy $E(k) = 0/\pi$ [see Fig. 3(b)], which in turn can give rise to the emergence of more Floquet MEMs at $E(k) = 0/\pi$. The number of MEMs, residing at 0 gap, can be different from that of at π gap causing a rich Floquet topological phase diagram unlike the static case. The multiple Floquet MEMs are protected by the chiral symmetry. The generation of multiple 0- and π -MEMs and their topological characterization employing appropriate dynamical invariants serves as the prime result of the current paper.

IV. STABILITY OF FLOQUET MEMS IN THE PRESENCE OF DISORDER AND THEIR TOPOLOGICAL CHARACTERIZATION

After investigating the generation and characterization of the Floquet 0- and π -MEMs, we further explore the stability of these modes in the presence of random disorder. We consider the following on-site time-independent disorder in $H_{\text{step}}(k, t)$ [Eq. (5)] as

$$V_{\rm dis} = V(r)\Gamma_1,\tag{14}$$

where V(r) is distributed randomly in the range $\left[-\frac{w}{2}, \frac{w}{2}\right]$ and w accounts for the disorder strength. Note that the system continues to preserve the chiral symmetry in the presence of the above disorder, i.e., $S^{-1}H(r)S = -H(r)$, where H(r) denotes the real-space Hamiltonian as k is no longer a good quantum number. The quasienergy spectra remain qualitatively similar to Figs. 3(c) and 3(d) and continue to manifest both 0 and π modes as long as the disorder scale does not close these corresponding gaps (see text for further discussion).

Due to the absence of quasimomenta k, we cannot compute the dynamical winding number W_{ϵ} using Eq. (13) for the disordered system. However, we can impose the twisted boundary condition (TBC) to define the topological invariant [82–84] for this case. In the TBC, the two ends of the system are glued together to form a ring, and a periodic flux θ is threaded through the ring such that, whenever a particle hops from one lattice site to another, it acquires a phase [85,86]. This accounts for the following transformations: $\psi_{j,\uparrow(\downarrow)} \rightarrow e^{i\theta j}\psi_{j,\theta\uparrow(\downarrow)}$ and $\psi_{j,\uparrow(\downarrow)}^{\dagger} \rightarrow e^{-i\theta j}\psi_{j,\theta\uparrow(\downarrow)}^{\dagger}$ such that $L\theta = 2\pi$, where *L* is the number of lattice sites. The lattice Hamiltonian in the presence of on-site disorder and the periodic flux θ can be written in the following form:

$$H_{\text{step}} = \sum_{j,\theta} \Psi_{j,\theta}^{\dagger} [-c_{1} + V(r)] \Gamma_{1} \Psi_{j,\theta}, \ t \in [0, T/4),$$

$$= \sum_{j,\theta} \Psi_{j,\theta}^{\dagger} [\{(2t - c_{0}) + V(r)\} \Gamma_{1} + B_{x} \Gamma_{3} + \Delta \Gamma_{4}] \Psi_{j,\theta}$$

$$+ \Psi_{j,\theta}^{\dagger} (-t \Gamma_{1} - iu \Gamma_{2}) e^{i\theta} \Psi_{j+1,\theta} + \text{H.c.},$$

$$t \in [T/4, 3T/4),$$

$$= \sum_{j,\theta} \Psi_{j,\theta}^{\dagger} [-c_{1} + V(r)] \Gamma_{1} \Psi_{j,\theta}, \ t \in [3T/4, T].$$
(15)

Here $\Psi_{j,\theta} = \{\psi_{j,\theta\uparrow}, \psi_{j,\theta\downarrow}, \psi^{\dagger}_{j,\theta\downarrow}, -\psi^{\dagger}_{j,\theta\uparrow}\}^{\mathsf{t}}$ and θ serves the purpose of the momenta, whereas the unit cell in this superlattice is composed of 4*L* disordered lattice. Note that



FIG. 5. In panels (a) and (b), we depict the dynamical winding numbers W_0 and W_{π} , respectively, in the presence of small (w = 0.1) on-site disorder as a function of the driving frequency Ω . We repeat panels (a) and (b) in panels (c) and (d) and panels (e) and (f) for moderate (w = 0.5) and strong (w = 0.8) disorder strength, respectively. Here, green (dashed), red (solid), and blue (dotted-dashed) lines represent the winding number (W_{ϵ}^{c}) for the corresponding clean system, the disorder-averaged winding number (W_{ϵ}^{d}) for the disordered system, and the variance (σ^2) of the winding number of the disordered system from the mean value W_{ϵ}^{d} , respectively, for quasienergy ϵ . We choose $c_0 = 0.2$, while all the other model parameter values remain the same as those mentioned in Fig. 3.

our analysis is independent of the choice of the gauge to represent the twist variable θ . The chiral symmetry operator in the real space can be written as $S^L = S \otimes \mathbb{I}_L$. The $4L \times 4L$ chiral basis can be obtained by diagonalizing S^L , and using the chiral basis along with the relations (7)–(12), we can evaluate $U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(\theta)$. However, $U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(\theta)$ are now $2L \times 2L$ matrices. Incorporating these, we can define the dynamical winding number W_{ϵ}^d for the disordered system as [82–84]

$$W_{\epsilon}^{d} = \left| \pm \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d\theta \operatorname{Tr}\left[\left\{ U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(\theta) \right\}^{-1} \partial_{\theta} U_{\epsilon}^{\pm}(\theta) \right] \right|.$$
(16)

We exhibit the disorder-averaged winding number W_{ϵ}^{d} , its variance σ^{2} from the mean value, and the winding number of the clean system W_{ϵ}^{c} (for comparison) as a function of the driving frequency Ω in Fig. 5. We have considered a system consisting of L = 30 sites and performed an average over 100 disorder configurations. We consider three sets of the disorder strength: small (w = 0.1), moderate (w = 0.5), and strong (w = 0.8), and we discuss their outcome below.

Case I—Small disorder (w = 0.1). We show W_0 and W_{π} in Figs. 5(a) and 5(b), respectively. The disorder-averaged winding number W_{ϵ}^{d} matches well with that of the clean case

 W_{ϵ}^{c} except near smaller Ω values. The variance σ^{2} remains almost near 0 and also manifests the stability of W_{ϵ}^{d} . However, the finite variance indicates the fluctuations in the quantization in low-frequency regime. This discrepancy can be attributed to the smaller bulk gaps near 0 and π quasienergy.

Case II—Moderate disorder (w = 0.5). We show W_0 and W_{π} in Figs. 5(c) and 5(d), respectively. However, W_{ϵ}^{d} matches with that of the clean case W_{ϵ}^{c} only near higher values of Ω (high-frequency limit). The variance σ^2 also exhibits large fluctuations near smaller frequencies and tends to 0 near higher frequencies. Thus, MEMs survive for a relatively higher frequency regime even in the presence of moderate disorder strength. More interestingly, the Majorana π modes appear to be more robust against disorder (near $\Omega \sim 0.5$) as compared to the Majorana 0 modes as the former deviates less from the quantized value (the variance $\sigma^2 \sim 0$) of the corresponding winding number [see Figs. 5(c) and 5(d)]. This can be attributed to the fact that disorder usually affects the Floquet Majorana modes when its energy scale is greater or equivalent to the topological gap. For moderate disorder, the corresponding scale may not be comparable to the π gap while it can be commensurate to close the 0 gap in the observed frequency limit. Hence, π modes appear to be more robust against disorder as compared to the Majorana 0 modes.

Case III—Strong disorder (w = 0.8). We show W_0 and W_{π} in Figs. 5(e) and 5(f), respectively. There is almost no matching between W_{ϵ}^{d} and W_{ϵ}^{c} . The variance σ^2 is nonzero throughout the frequency range. Both the 0- and π -MEMs disappear for strong disorder strength.

V. GENERATION OF FLOQUET MEMS USING PERIODIC KICK DRIVE PROTOCOL

After extensive discussions on the generation and characterization of Floquet Majorana modes using the periodic step drive protocol, here we introduce the periodic kick protocol to generate the Floquet 0- and π -MEMs in our setup. We consider $H_0(k)$ in between successive kicks, and the on-site kick in the chemical potential is given as

$$c(t) = -c_1 \Gamma_1 \sum_{r=0}^{r=\infty} \delta(t - rT).$$
 (17)

The Floquet operator in terms of the time-ordered notation can be written as

$$U_{\text{kick}}(k,T) = \text{TO} \exp\left[-i\int_{0}^{T} dt \left\{H_{0}(k) + c(t)\right\}\right]$$
$$= e^{-iH_{0}(k)T}e^{ic_{1}\Gamma_{1}}.$$
(18)

Having constructed the Floquet operator, we study the bulk quasienergy spectra of the system. We depict E(k) as a function of k in Figs. 6(a) and 6(b) for driving frequencies $\Omega = 3.0$ and $\Omega = 5.0$, respectively. From insets I1 and I2 of Fig. 6(a), one can notice two bulk gap openings at the 0 and π gaps, indicating two end modes at these gaps. On the other hand, from the inset of Fig. 6(b), four gap-openings appear near quasienergy π , indicating the presence of four MEMs. Nevertheless, we contemplate the OBC to substantiate our predictions, obtained from the bulk spectra. We show the quasienergy spectra with respect to the state index m in



FIG. 6. Panels (a) and (b) represent the bulk quasienergy spectra for the periodic kick drive protocol [Eq. (17)] for $\Omega = 3.0$ and $\Omega = 5.0$, respectively. The insets I1 and I2 of panel (a) [inset of panel (b)] exhibit(s) the bulk gap around quasienergies $E(k) = \pi$ and E(k) = 0, respectively [quasienergy $E(k) = \pi$]. In panels (c) and (d), we illustrate the quasienergy spectra E_m as a function of the state index *m* while system obeys the OBC, corresponding to panels (a) and (b), respectively. In the insets of these panels, we depict the zoomed-in quasienergies for better clarity. Here, we consider N = 4000 lattice sites. All the other model parameters are chosen as $(c_0, c_1, \Delta, B_x, t, u) = (1.0, 0.2, 1.0, 1.0, 1.0, 0.5)$.

Figs. 6(c) and 6(d) for $\Omega = 3.0$ and $\Omega = 5.0$, respectively. We depict the 0- and π -mode eigenvalues in insets I1 and I2 of Fig. 6(c), respectively, whereas the inset of Fig. 6(d) indicates only four π -MEMs, with no 0-MEM being present in this case.

To topologically characterize the Floquet 0- and π -MEMs, we calculate the dynamical winding number W_{ϵ} defined in Eq. (13). We illustrate W_0 and W_{π} in the $c_0 - \Omega$ plane in Figs. 7(a) and 7(b), respectively. Similar to the step drive,



FIG. 7. We demonstrate the dynamical winding numbers W_0 and W_{π} in the $c_0 - \Omega$ plane in panels (a) and (b), respectively, for periodic-kick drive protocol. All the model parameter values remain the same as those mentioned in Fig. 6.

here also one can generate multiple MEMs. However, this is only possible for the π modes (on this parameter range), and the number of multiple modes generated is less than that in the case of step drive. This can be attributed to the fact that the periodic kick is less efficient to generate longer-range hopping in the dynamical system for this parameter regime, compared to the periodic step drive protocol. Another point of concern with the dynamical winding number for the periodic kick is that it matches correctly with the OBC quasienergy spectra only when $\Omega \ge 3.0$. Using the similar line of arguments invoked for the step drive protocol, we can argue on the Majorana nature of the 0 and π end modes for this case too.

VI. POSSIBLE EXPERIMENTAL CONNECTION

Having discussed the theoretical proposal in engineering the Floquet Majorana modes and examining their robustness under disorder, we here demonstrate the possible experimental connection and its realization. The possible candidate material can be the popular InSb/InAs 1D NW with strong SOC and proximity-induced s-wave superconductivity (e.g., Nb/Al) in it [24-33,87]. The time-dependent gate voltage has been theoretically investigated in the context of transport studies [88,89] and can be implemented experimentally [90,91] by adopting a suitable superposition of several harmonics. Note that the first 0 < t < T/4 and the last 3T/4 < t < T parts of the driving scheme include an atomic insulator type model Hamiltonian H_1 . This can be engineered out of the NW by changing the gate voltage and other control parameters to such a position where bands are relatively flat and substantially gapped. In the intermediate time T/4 < t < 3T/4, the chemical potential can be tuned such that band dispersion in the NW becomes relevant. Experimental implementation of sudden quench between such step Hamiltonians, as demonstrated in Eq. (5), might be challenging. As a result, there exists a switching time, quantified by the time gap between two subsequent Hamiltonians, that plays a crucial role in the practical realization. This switching timescale τ has to be typically smaller than that of the timescale associated with the Majorana localization length λ and the velocity v of the quasiparticles (Fermi velocity in most of the cases) produced by such quench, i.e., $\tau < \lambda/v$. This condition ensures that the MEMs will not diffuse into the bulk during the switching time. The system size L (length of the nanowire) possesses another length scale referring to the fact that $\tau \ll L/v$ as $\lambda/v < L/v$ to avoid overlap of Majoranas inside the NW. Note that, once the switching time is greater than the characteristic timescale of the system, the adiabatic evolution will obstruct Majoranas to appear as we have started from a trivial phase. The characteristic timescale is set by the inverse of the gap difference $1/\delta_E = |\Delta E_0 - \Delta E_1|^{-1} = f(\Delta, B_x, c_0, c_1)$ associated with the two subsequent step Hamiltonians H_0 and H_1 . This condition ensures nonadiabatic evolution in order to conceive the Floquet MEMs. Therefore, we believe that the switching timescale $\tau < \min\{\delta_E^{-1}, \lambda/v\} < L/v$ in order to observe Floquet topological Majorana modes.

As far as the experimental signature is concerned, implementation of our dynamical protocol might able to signal the topological nature of Floquet MEMs even in the presence of disorder. Interestingly, the quantization of the dynamical winding number remains robust for small strength of disorder. Moreover, for intermediate disorder strength, it decreases from the quantized value by a small amount which might be possible to probe experimentally (see Fig. 5). The π -MEMs are found to be more robust with disorder in terms of the quantization of the dynamical winding number. Such an anomalous mode can only be present in the Floquet topological superconducting phase without any static analog. The driving frequency should be kept at an intermediate value with respect to the other band parameters of the model, such as the hopping and the SOC such that the Floquet topological superconducting phases can host multiple numbers of MEMs within an experimentally conceivable window of the drive parameters (see Fig. 4). For InSb nanowire, the Rashba spin-orbit strength is around 50 µeV [25]. Following this, our model parameters can take the values $u \sim 25 \,\mu\text{eV}$, $t \sim 50 \,\mu\text{eV}$, and $\Delta \sim 50 \,\mu\text{eV}$. Thus, the corresponding range of frequency can lie within $\Omega \sim 27\text{--}76$ GHz to realize the Floquet MEMs.

VII. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

To summarize, in this article, we consider a practically realizable model to generate multiple Floquet MEMs based on 1D Rashba NW with proximity-induced s-wave superconductivity, while an external magnetic field is applied along the x direction. To begin with, we study the topological phase diagram of this static TSC using a winding number exploiting the chiral symmetry. This matches with earlier predictions [3,4,17]. In this model, a periodic three-step drive protocol is employed to generate the FTSC phase hosting both regular 0-MEMs and anomalous π -MEMs. Within our driving scheme, multiple MEMs (both regular 0 modes and anomalous π modes) can be generated. We utilize the periodized evolution operator to contrive the dynamical topological winding number, which can distinctly characterize both 0- and π -MEMs. The robustness of the MEMs is investigated in the presence of the on-site disorder potential. Nonetheless, the MEMs are almost insensitive to small disorder and remain localized at the ends of the chain only for a specific frequency regime in the case of moderate disorder. However, these modes in the FTSC phase is destroyed for the strong disorder regime. In the presence of disorder, the dynamical winding number is computed employing the TBC. Given the fact that the topological MEMs are characterized by Pfaffian-based Z and Z_2 invariant [19,92–95], here we consider the real-space-based dynamical invariant to characterize the Floquet Majorana modes in the presence of disorder. We further generalize our scheme

of Floquet generation of MEMs for the periodic-kick drive protocol.

We have investigated both the 0- and π -MEM wave functions corresponding to Fig. 3(c) (step drive) and Fig. 6(c) (periodic kick). We establish the equality condition of the particle and hole parts of the wave function at a single site. Note that we can examine the wave function only when one MEM wave function, localized at one end of the chain, is separated from the other MEM state that resides at the other end of the chain. Nevertheless, extracting such wave functions by linear superpositions or unitary transformations is not quite straightforward for the case of multiple MEMs, i.e., more than two. The generation of multiple MEMs for a driven system is not unique to first-order TSCs [53,66,96,97] only, but also is visible for higher-order TSCs [98-100]. On the other hand, static *p*-wave 1D chain with long-range hopping involving the nth nearest neighbor can host 2n number of degenerate MEMs [101]. Moreover, multiple MEMs are predicted in non-Hermitian systems [102]. We can comment that multiple Floquet MEMs can become instrumental for braiding in the time domain which is hard to design for static systems [67–70].

The Floquet generation of MEMs following the experimentally realizable NW model has also been investigated in some earlier studies [56,61]. In Ref. [56], the authors considered a cold-atomic system consisting of a 1D NW s-wave superconductor heterostructure in the presence of an external laser irradiation to generate Floquet MEMs (both 0 and π modes), whereas Ref. [61] reported the emergence of Floquet MEMs in the Rashba NW model with proximity-induced s-wave superconductivity. The system is made time-dependent via an external ac gate voltage which produces sinusoidally periodic chemical potential. The dissipation and the corresponding lifetime of the Floquet MEMs in the presence of strong energy and density fluctuations, created by the superconducting proximity effect, have also been investigated. However, in the above studies, the generation of multiple Floquet MEMs and their topological protection in the presence of random disorder have not been explored. The transport and shot-noise signatures of the π modes in Rashba NW setup can also be interesting future directions as well.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

D.M., A.K.G., and A.S. acknowledge SAMKHYA: High-Performance Computing Facility provided by the Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, for numerical computations.

- A. Y. Kitaev, Unpaired Majorana fermions in quantum wires, Phys.-Usp. 44, 131 (2001).
- [2] X.-L. Qi and S.-C. Zhang, Topological insulators and superconductors, Rev. Mod. Phys. 83, 1057 (2011).
- [3] J. Alicea, New directions in the pursuit of Majorana fermions in solid state systems, Rep. Prog. Phys. 75, 076501 (2012).
- [4] M. Leijnse and K. Flensberg, Introduction to topological superconductivity and Majorana fermions, Semicond. Sci. Technol. 27, 124003 (2012).
- [5] C. Beenakker, Search for Majorana fermions in superconductors, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 4, 113 (2013).
- [6] R. Aguado, Majorana quasiparticles in condensed matter, Riv. Nuovo Cimento 40, 523 (2017).
- [7] D. A. Ivanov, Non-Abelian Statistics of Half-Quantum Vortices in *p*-Wave Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 268 (2001).
- [8] M. Freedman, A. Kitaev, M. Larsen, and Z. Wang, Topological quantum computation, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 40, 31 (2003).

- [9] A. Kitaev, Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, Ann. Phys. **303**, 2 (2003).
- [10] A. Stern, Non-Abelian states of matter, Nature (London) 464, 187 (2010).
- [11] C. Nayak, S. H. Simon, A. Stern, M. Freedman, and S. Das Sarma, Non-Abelian anyons and topological quantum computation, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1083 (2008).
- [12] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Superconducting Proximity Effect and Majorana Fermions at the Surface of a Topological Insulator, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 096407 (2008).
- [13] T. D. Stanescu, J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, and S. Das Sarma, Proximity effect at the superconductor-topological insulator interface, Phys. Rev. B 81, 241310(R) (2010).
- [14] A. C. Potter and P. A. Lee, Engineering a p+ip superconductor: Comparison of topological insulator and Rashba spin-orbit-coupled materials, Phys. Rev. B 83, 184520 (2011).
- [15] J. D. Sau, R. M. Lutchyn, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Generic New Platform for Topological Quantum Computation Using Semiconductor Heterostructures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 104, 040502 (2010).
- [16] R. M. Lutchyn, J. D. Sau, and S. Das Sarma, Majorana Fermions and a Topological Phase Transition in Semiconductor-Superconductor Heterostructures, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 077001 (2010).
- [17] Y. Oreg, G. Refael, and F. von Oppen, Helical Liquids and Majorana Bound States in Quantum Wires, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 177002 (2010).
- [18] J. D. Sau, S. Tewari, R. M. Lutchyn, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Das Sarma, Non-Abelian quantum order in spin-orbit-coupled semiconductors: Search for topological Majorana particles in solid-state systems, Phys. Rev. B 82, 214509 (2010).
- [19] S. Tewari and J. D. Sau, Topological Invariants for Spin-Orbit Coupled Superconductor Nanowires, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 150408 (2012).
- [20] D. Rainis, L. Trifunovic, J. Klinovaja, and D. Loss, Towards a realistic transport modeling in a superconducting nanowire with Majorana fermions, Phys. Rev. B 87, 024515 (2013).
- [21] E. Prada, P. San-Jose, and R. Aguado, Transport spectroscopy of *NS* nanowire junctions with Majorana fermions, Phys. Rev. B 86, 180503(R) (2012).
- [22] A. Haim, E. Berg, F. von Oppen, and Y. Oreg, Signatures of Majorana Zero Modes in Spin-Resolved Current Correlations, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 166406 (2015).
- [23] A. Barman Ray, J. D. Sau, and I. Mandal, Symmetrybreaking signatures of multiple majorana zero modes in one-dimensional spin-triplet superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 104, 104513 (2021).
- [24] A. Das, Y. Ronen, Y. Most, Y. Oreg, M. Heiblum, and H. Shtrikman, Zero-bias peaks and splitting in an Al-InAs nanowire topological superconductor as a signature of Majorana fermions, Nat. Phys. 8, 887 (2012).
- [25] V. Mourik, K. Zuo, S. M. Frolov, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Signatures of Majorana fermions in hybrid superconductor-semiconductor nanowire devices, Science 336, 1003 (2012).
- [26] M. T. Deng, C. L. Yu, G. Y. Huang, M. Larsson, P. Caroff, and H. Q. Xu, Anomalous zero-bias conductance peak in a Nb– InSb nanowire–Nb hybrid device, Nano Lett. 12, 6414 (2012).
- [27] M. T. Deng, S. Vaitiekenas, E. B. Hansen, J. Danon, M. Leijnse, K. Flensberg, J. Nygård, P. Krogstrup, and C. M.

Marcus, Majorana bound state in a coupled quantum-dot hybrid-nanowire system, Science **354**, 1557 (2016).

- [28] F. Nichele, A. C. C. Drachmann, A. M. Whiticar, E. C. T. O'Farrell, H. J. Suominen, A. Fornieri, T. Wang, G. C. Gardner, C. Thomas, A. T. Hatke, P. Krogstrup, M. J. Manfra, K. Flensberg, and C. M. Marcus, Scaling of Majorana Zero-Bias Conductance Peaks, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 136803 (2017).
- [29] J. Chen, P. Yu, J. Stenger, M. Hocevar, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, T. D. Stanescu, and S. M. Frolov, Experimental phase diagram of zero-bias conductance peaks in superconductor/semiconductor nanowire devices, Sci. Adv. 3, e1701476 (2017).
- [30] H. Zhang, Ö. Gül, S. Conesa-Boj, M. P. Nowak, M. Wimmer, K. Zuo, V. Mourik, F. K. de Vries, J. van Veen, M. W. A. de Moor, J. D. S. Bommer, D. J. van Woerkom, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. Bakkers, M. Quintero-Pérez, M. C. Cassidy, S. Koelling, S. Goswami, K. Watanabe *et al.*, Ballistic superconductivity in semiconductor nanowires, Nat. Commun. 8, 16025 (2017).
- [31] Ö. Gül, H. Zhang, J. D. S. Bommer, M. W. A. de Moor, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, A. Geresdi, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Ballistic Majorana nanowire devices, Nat. Nanotechnol. 13, 192 (2018).
- [32] A. Grivnin, E. Bor, M. Heiblum, Y. Oreg, and H. Shtrikman, Concomitant opening of a bulk-gap with an emerging possible Majorana zero mode, Nat. Commun. 10, 1940 (2019).
- [33] J. Chen, B. D. Woods, P. Yu, M. Hocevar, D. Car, S. R. Plissard, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, T. D. Stanescu, and S. M. Frolov, Ubiquitous Non-Majorana Zero-Bias Conductance Peaks in Nanowire Devices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **123**, 107703 (2019).
- [34] J.-Y. Wang, N. van Loo, G. P. Mazur, V. Levajac, F. K. Malinowski, M. Lemang, F. Borsoi, G. Badawy, S. Gazibegovic, E. P. A. M. Bakkers, M. Quintero-Pérez, S. Heedt, and L. P. Kouwenhoven, Parametric exploration of zero-energy modes in three-terminal InSb-Al nanowire devices, Phys. Rev. B 106, 075306 (2022).
- [35] G. Kells, D. Meidan, and P. W. Brouwer, Near-zero-energy end states in topologically trivial spin-orbit coupled superconducting nanowires with a smooth confinement, Phys. Rev. B 86, 100503(R) (2012).
- [36] J. Liu, A. C. Potter, K. T. Law, and P. A. Lee, Zero-Bias Peaks in the Tunneling Conductance of Spin-Orbit-Coupled Superconducting Wires with and without Majorana End-States, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 267002 (2012).
- [37] C.-X. Liu, J. D. Sau, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Das Sarma, Andreev bound states versus Majorana bound states in quantum dot-nanowire-superconductor hybrid structures: Trivial versus topological zero-bias conductance peaks, Phys. Rev. B 96, 075161 (2017).
- [38] C. Moore, C. Zeng, T. D. Stanescu, and S. Tewari, Quantized zero-bias conductance plateau in semiconductorsuperconductor heterostructures without topological Majorana zero modes, Phys. Rev. B 98, 155314 (2018).
- [39] A. Vuik, B. Nijholt, A. R. Akhmerov, and M. Wimmer, Reproducing topological properties with quasi-Majorana states, SciPost Phys. 7, 061 (2019).
- [40] Y.-H. Lai, S. D. Sarma, and J. D. Sau, Quality factor for zerobias conductance peaks in Majorana nanowire, Phys. Rev. B 106, 094504 (2022).

- [41] M. Valentini, F. Peñaranda, A. Hofmann, M. Brauns, R. Hauschild, P. Krogstrup, P. San-Jose, E. Prada, R. Aguado, and G. Katsaros, Nontopological zero-bias peaks in full-shell nanowires induced by flux-tunable Andreev states, Science 373, 82 (2021).
- [42] T. Oka and H. Aoki, Photovoltaic Hall effect in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 79, 081406(R) (2009).
- [43] T. Kitagawa, T. Oka, A. Brataas, L. Fu, and E. Demler, Transport properties of nonequilibrium systems under the application of light: Photoinduced quantum hall insulators without Landau levels, Phys. Rev. B 84, 235108 (2011).
- [44] N. H. Lindner, G. Refael, and V. Galitski, Floquet topological insulator in semiconductor quantum wells, Nat. Phys. 7, 490 (2011).
- [45] M. S. Rudner, N. H. Lindner, E. Berg, and M. Levin, Anomalous Edge States and the Bulk-Edge Correspondence for Periodically Driven Two-Dimensional Systems, Phys. Rev. X 3, 031005 (2013).
- [46] G. Usaj, P. M. Perez-Piskunow, L. E. F. Foa Torres, and C. A. Balseiro, Irradiated graphene as a tunable Floquet topological insulator, Phys. Rev. B 90, 115423 (2014).
- [47] P. M. Perez-Piskunow, G. Usaj, C. A. Balseiro, and L. E. F. F. Torres, Floquet chiral edge states in graphene, Phys. Rev. B 89, 121401(R) (2014).
- [48] A. Eckardt, Colloquium: Atomic quantum gases in periodically driven optical lattices, Rev. Mod. Phys. 89, 011004 (2017).
- [49] S. Yao, Z. Yan, and Z. Wang, Topological invariants of Floquet systems: General formulation, special properties, and Floquet topological defects, Phys. Rev. B 96, 195303 (2017).
- [50] T. Oka and S. Kitamura, Floquet engineering of quantum materials, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 10, 387 (2019).
- [51] M. Rudner and N. Lindner, Band structure engineering and non-equilibrium dynamics in Floquet topological insulators, Nat. Rev. Phys. 2, 229 (2020).
- [52] T. Nag and B. Roy, Anomalous and normal dislocation modes in Floquet topological insulators, Commun. Phys. 4, 157 (2021).
- [53] M. Thakurathi, A. A. Patel, D. Sen, and A. Dutta, Floquet generation of Majorana end modes and topological invariants, Phys. Rev. B 88, 155133 (2013).
- [54] M. Benito, A. Gómez-León, V. M. Bastidas, T. Brandes, and G. Platero, Floquet engineering of long-range *p*-wave superconductivity, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 205127 (2014).
- [55] A. C. Potter, T. Morimoto, and A. Vishwanath, Classification of Interacting Topological Floquet Phases in One Dimension, Phys. Rev. X 6, 041001 (2016).
- [56] L. Jiang, T. Kitagawa, J. Alicea, A. R. Akhmerov, D. Pekker, G. Refael, J. I. Cirac, E. Demler, M. D. Lukin, and P. Zoller, Majorana Fermions in Equilibrium and in Driven Cold-Atom Quantum Wires, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 220402 (2011).
- [57] A. A. Reynoso and D. Frustaglia, Unpaired Floquet Majorana fermions without magnetic fields, Phys. Rev. B 87, 115420 (2013).
- [58] D. E. Liu, A. Levchenko, and H. U. Baranger, Floquet Majorana Fermions for Topological Qubits in Superconducting Devices and Cold-Atom Systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 047002 (2013).

- [59] M. Thakurathi, D. Loss, and J. Klinovaja, Floquet Majorana fermions and parafermions in driven Rashba nanowires, Phys. Rev. B 95, 155407 (2017).
- [60] D. T. Liu, J. Shabani, and A. Mitra, Floquet Majorana zero and π modes in planar Josephson junctions, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 094303 (2019).
- [61] Z. Yang, Q. Yang, J. Hu, and D. E. Liu, Dissipative Floquet Majorana Modes in Proximity-Induced Topological Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 086801 (2021).
- [62] P. D. Sacramento, Charge and spin edge currents in twodimensional Floquet topological superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 91, 214518 (2015).
- [63] R.-X. Zhang and S. Das Sarma, Anomalous Floquet Chiral Topological Superconductivity in a Topological Insulator Sandwich Structure, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 067001 (2021).
- [64] D. M. Kennes, N. Müller, M. Pletyukhov, C. Weber, C. Bruder, F. Hassler, J. Klinovaja, D. Loss, and H. Schoeller, Chiral one-dimensional Floquet topological insulators beyond the rotating wave approximation, Phys. Rev. B 100, 041103(R) (2019).
- [65] N. Müller, D. M. Kennes, J. Klinovaja, D. Loss, and H. Schoeller, Electronic transport in one-dimensional Floquet topological insulators via topological and nontopological edge states, Phys. Rev. B 101, 155417 (2020).
- [66] A. Kundu and B. Seradjeh, Transport Signatures of Floquet Majorana Fermions in Driven Topological Superconductors, Phys. Rev. Lett. 111, 136402 (2013).
- [67] R. W. Bomantara and J. Gong, Simulation of Non-Abelian Braiding in Majorana Time Crystals, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 230405 (2018).
- [68] R. W. Bomantara and J. Gong, Quantum computation via Floquet topological edge modes, Phys. Rev. B 98, 165421 (2018).
- [69] B. Bauer, T. Pereg-Barnea, T. Karzig, M.-T. Rieder, G. Refael, E. Berg, and Y. Oreg, Topologically protected braiding in a single wire using Floquet majorana modes, Phys. Rev. B 100, 041102(R) (2019).
- [70] A. Matthies, J. Park, E. Berg, and A. Rosch, Stability of Floquet Majorana Box Qubits, Phys. Rev. Lett. 128, 127702 (2022).
- [71] Y. H. Wang, H. Steinberg, P. Jarillo-Herrero, and N. Gedik, Observation of Floquet-Bloch states on the surface of a topological insulator, Science 342, 453 (2013).
- [72] J. W. McIver, B. Schulte, F.-U. Stein, T. Matsuyama, G. Jotzu, G. Meier, and A. Cavalleri, Light-induced anomalous Hall effect in graphene, Nat. Phys. 16, 38 (2020).
- [73] Y.-G. Peng, C.-Z. Qin, D.-G. Zhao, Y.-X. Shen, X.-Y. Xu, M. Bao, H. Jia, and X.-F. Zhu, Experimental demonstration of anomalous Floquet topological insulator for sound, Nat. Commun. 7, 13368 (2016).
- [74] R. Fleury, A. B. Khanikaev, and A. Alu, Floquet topological insulators for sound, Nat. Commun. 7, 11744 (2016).
- [75] M. C. Rechtsman, J. M. Zeuner, Y. Plotnik, Y. Lumer, D. Podolsky, F. Dreisow, S. Nolte, M. Segev, and A. Szameit, Photonic Floquet topological insulators, Nature (London) 496, 196 (2013).
- [76] L. J. Maczewsky, J. M. Zeuner, S. Nolte, and A. Szameit, Observation of photonic anomalous Floquet topological insulators, Nat. Commun. 8, 13756 (2017).

- [77] S. Ryu, A. P. Schnyder, A. Furusaki, and A. W. W. Ludwig, Topological insulators and superconductors: Tenfold way and dimensional hierarchy, New J. Phys. **12**, 065010 (2010).
- [78] C.-K. Chiu, J. C. Y. Teo, A. P. Schnyder, and S. Ryu, Classification of topological quantum matter with symmetries, Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 035005 (2016).
- [79] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, Dynamical construction of quadrupolar and octupolar topological superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 105, 155406 (2022).
- [80] M. Fruchart, Complex classes of periodically driven topological lattice systems, Phys. Rev. B 93, 115429 (2016).
- [81] T. Mikami, S. Kitamura, K. Yasuda, N. Tsuji, T. Oka, and H. Aoki, Brillouin-Wigner theory for high-frequency expansion in periodically driven systems: Application to Floquet topological insulators, Phys. Rev. B 93, 144307 (2016).
- [82] T. Kitagawa, E. Berg, M. Rudner, and E. Demler, Topological characterization of periodically driven quantum systems, Phys. Rev. B 82, 235114 (2010).
- [83] P. Titum, E. Berg, M. S. Rudner, G. Refael, and N. H. Lindner, Anomalous Floquet-Anderson Insulator as a Nonadiabatic Quantized Charge Pump, Phys. Rev. X 6, 021013 (2016).
- [84] S. Roy and G. J. Sreejith, Disordered Chern insulator with a two-step Floquet drive, Phys. Rev. B 94, 214203 (2016).
- [85] Q. Niu and D. J. Thouless, Quantised adiabatic charge transport in the presence of substrate disorder and many-body interaction, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 17, 2453 (1984).
- [86] Q. Niu, D. J. Thouless, and Y.-S. Wu, Quantized hall conductance as a topological invariant, Phys. Rev. B 31, 3372 (1985).
- [87] H. Zhang, M. W. de Moor, J. D. Bommer, D. Xu, G. Wang, N. van Loo, C.-X. Liu, S. Gazibegovic, J. A. Logan, D. Car *et al.*, Large zero-bias peaks in InSb-Al hybrid semiconductorsuperconductor nanowire devices, arXiv:2101.11456.
- [88] M. Misiorny, G. Fève, and J. Splettstoesser, Shaping charge excitations in chiral edge states with a time-dependent gate voltage, Phys. Rev. B 97, 075426 (2018).
- [89] E. Khosravi, G. Stefanucci, S. Kurth, and E. Gross, Bound states in time-dependent quantum transport: oscillations and memory effects in current and density, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 11, 4535 (2009).
- [90] J. Dubois, T. Jullien, F. Portier, P. Roche, A. Cavanna, Y. Jin, W. Wegscheider, P. Roulleau, and D. Glattli, Minimal-

excitation states for electron quantum optics using levitons, Nature (London) **502**, 659 (2013).

- [91] J. Gabelli and B. Reulet, Shaping a time-dependent excitation to minimize the shot noise in a tunnel junction, Phys. Rev. B 87, 075403 (2013).
- [92] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, S. Raghu, and S.-C. Zhang, Time-Reversal-Invariant Topological Superconductors and Superfluids in Two and Three Dimensions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 187001 (2009).
- [93] X.-L. Qi, T. L. Hughes, and S.-C. Zhang, Topological invariants for the Fermi surface of a time-reversal-invariant superconductor, Phys. Rev. B 81, 134508 (2010).
- [94] J. C. Y. Teo and C. L. Kane, Topological defects and gapless modes in insulators and superconductors, Phys. Rev. B 82, 115120 (2010).
- [95] F. Zhang, C. L. Kane, and E. J. Mele, Time-Reversal-Invariant Topological Superconductivity and Majorana Kramers Pairs, Phys. Rev. Lett. **111**, 056402 (2013).
- [96] P. Molignini, W. Chen, and R. Chitra, Universal quantum criticality in static and Floquet-Majorana chains, Phys. Rev. B 98, 125129 (2018).
- [97] H. H. Yap, L. Zhou, C. H. Lee, and J. Gong, Photoinduced half-integer quantized conductance plateaus in topologicalinsulator/superconductor heterostructures, Phys. Rev. B 97, 165142 (2018).
- [98] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, Floquet generation of a second-order topological superconductor, Phys. Rev. B 103, 045424 (2021).
- [99] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, Hierarchy of higher-order topological superconductors in three dimensions, Phys. Rev. B 104, 134508 (2021).
- [100] A. K. Ghosh, T. Nag, and A. Saha, Floquet second order topological superconductor based on unconventional pairing, Phys. Rev. B 103, 085413 (2021).
- [101] W. DeGottardi, M. Thakurathi, S. Vishveshwara, and D. Sen, Majorana fermions in superconducting wires: Effects of longrange hopping, broken time-reversal symmetry, and potential landscapes, Phys. Rev. B 88, 165111 (2013).
- [102] L. Zhou, Non-Hermitian Floquet topological superconductors with multiple Majorana edge modes, Phys. Rev. B 101, 014306 (2020).